

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/588,045	08/01/2006	Stefan Lippert	40149/01701 (068P 0667)	5035
30636 FAY KAPI I I	7590 09/03/2009 N & MARCIN, LLP	EXAMINER		
150 BROADV	VAY, SUITE 702		WILHELM, TIMOTHY	
NEW YORK,	NY 10038		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3616	•
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/03/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/588,045	LIPPERT ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Timothy D. Wilhelm	3616		

	Timothy D. Wilneim	3616				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appear	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED 09 April 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPI	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR A	LLOWANCE.				
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Anno event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, beck either box (a) or (the MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION, See MPEP 706.07(T)	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	date of the final rejection	n.			
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date to have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of a studied and of the control of the studied of the control of the studied o	ension and the corresponding amount nortened statutory period for reply origi	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as			
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compl filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exten Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wit AMENDMENTS 	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the				
	at arias to the data of films a brief					
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because (a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for 						
(c) in they are not deemed to place the application in bett appeal; and/or	er form for appeal by materially rec	auding or simplifying ti	ne issues for			
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c	orresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.				
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	1. See attached Notice of Non-Co	mpliant Amendment (I	PTOL-324).			
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):						
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be alk non-allowable claim(s).		•				
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		I be entered and an e	xplanation of			
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE						
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 						
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to or showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea and was not earlier presented. Se	al and/or appellant fail ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	s to provide a).			
 The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 	of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.			
The request for reconsideration has been considered but See Continuation Sheet.	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:			
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)					
13. Other:						
/Paul N. Dickson/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3616	/Timothy D Wilhelm/ Examiner, Art Unit 3616					

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: While Applicant is correct in that the steering angle sensor measures the amount by which the steering wheel has been turned, in doing so it also indirectly detects the privot angle of the front wheel with relation to the turning of said steering wheel, thus meeting the limitations as stated in claim?